XII Conference of the European Network for the Sociological and Demographic Study of Divorce, October 1-4 2014, Paris (France)

Precariousness in Spanish lone mother households*

Are lone mother households more precarious?

Andrea Hernández Monleón** **(FPU-MEC AP2010-4482)

University of Valencia, Department of Sociology and Social Anthropology

e.mail: andrea.hernandez@uv.es

Carles Simó Noguera

Juan Carbonell Asins

University of Valencia Department of Sociology and Social Anthropology

e.mail: carles.simo@uv.es

METHOD AND DATA

The database for the statistical analysis results from the homogenization - ECHP cross sectional panels of 1995 and 2000, and - EU-SILC cross sectional samples of 2005 and 2010.

These surveys include information on the different dimensions of precariousness: household and individual resources (income, wages, benefits...), employment status and type of contract, together with information on the conditions of life such as health or housing conditions.

e.mail: juan.asins@gmail.com

Four indicators of precariousness are created by means of factor analysis in which a set of variables are used for each dimension

a) Two refer to the household

b) the other two are individual

labour

Health

First, we calculate a T test of mean differences for independent samples in 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010.

First, we calculate a T test of mean differences for independent samples in 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010.

This is done in order to analyze whether the scores on the different indicators are significant for both types of households (lone-mother and two-parent).

Second, and in order to identify factors of precariousness, general linear models (GLM) have been calculated. In them the dependent variables are the indicators of precariousness, and the fixed factors are the following control variables, 1) type of household, 2; I deducation, 3) Region 4) (Citatorship, and 5) Martial status, along with interactions with 6) educational level and household type, 7) household type and marital status, and 8) educational level and marital status.

1. More precarious situation for lone mother households compared to mothers in two parent households for all the period (1995-2010)

2.More precarious situation for lone mothers except for labour precariousness

INTRODUCTION

This paper provides evidence on the **precariousness situation of households with dependent children in Spain**, and focuses on analyzing the differences between the **mothers of single-mother households** and the **mothers of two-parent households**.

Vital precariousness is conceptualized as a set of factors that put individuals in a position of vulnerability in many areas of society. In the these areas are:

Especial interest:

In the **current economic and financial crisis** processes of precariousness are spreading to the whole society, although the research focus on households with dependent children.


In Spain the number of children at risk of poverty has increased alarmingly. In 2013, the risk of poverty reaches (Unicef, 2014 data): 27,5% of children

38% of lone parent households

The paper aims to find out the factors explaining the differences of precariousness among households with dependent children

RESULTS (I): T Test of mean.

Graphic 1. Income precariousness, Labour precariou mothers of two parents households




Regarding the general differences between the two groups of mothers precariousness of income, health and housing are significantly higher among single mothers, while labour precariousness is higher among two-parent mothers. Labour force pather among mothers in single parent households, compared to mothers in two-parent households. These last ones show a greater presence of unpaid caring tasks and no participation in the labour market. Although, there is a gradual reduction of labour precariousness of mothers in two-parent households and a low increase of labour precariousness of single mothers.

RESULTS (III): GENERAL LINEAL MODEL (GLM)

In addition to the types of households other elements explain the precariousness. The factors with the strongest explanatory power are educational level, citizenship, age and regions.

Education is important in the dimensions of precariousness of income and health (negative effect, table 5). In addition, in the housing and labour dimensions of precariousness, the interaction between education level and martial status is a relevant factor: single mothers and separated/divorced mothers with lower educational levels are a distinct group with higher levels of precoclousness; regarding labour precariousness, the highest levels are found in widowed, married and divorced women with lower educational levels.



GLM are estimated to analyze the factors that may affect a higher precariousness in both types of households . We extracted the adjusted means and standard errors of each factor and the most important interactions Mothers in two parent households with 3 or more children are observed separately.

wounes in two-parent nousenous with 10 or more children are observed separately.

Clear differences appear between two-parent and single-parent households.

Precariousness varies with age, education, region of residence, nationality and marital status (proxy of the type of entry into lone parenthood).

lable 1. Income precariousness. Fixed Effects (GLM)											
	Vision 7.80	Stat Ervor 0.18		-0,0001	Gg.	American	Value	M.Ence	France #1.50	in ton	-
Interrupt) ROSSINDED THYS TWO RINSENS S OR HIGHE OHLORISM				0,9118		ROUSENDLD TYPE TWO PARENTS 3 CRANDIE CHILDREN	1,64	6.15	2.52	6911	
OUSEROUS TYPE USES MOTHER of HOUSEROUS TYPE Two assets I or 2 abilities	1,15	0,33	2,15	0,3346	·-	#OUTEROUS TYPE LONG MOTHER But HOUSEROUS TYPE Two passes I or 2 children	3,13	1,14	2,15	0,034	44
MC MODEROUS TYPE Two parent E or 2 shilders DOCATIONAL LEVEL, SECUNDARY	0.8	0.13	4.5	+0.8001			- 61	5.11		- n non	-
	-2.63	011	-26.55	+0.8001		EDUCATIONIA, LEVEL, SUPERIOR	-2,63	8,13	-29,35	+0,8000	
METEROPOLICA CONTROLOS CON					\Box	But (EQUATIONAL LEVEL PRIMARY WARTER, STATUS SHIGHESS				0.60	_
AMERIK, STATUS DINOROZO AMERIK, STATUS SANGET	6.32 0.19	6,59 6,24	4.8	0,4337		MARINI, SWINS DIVORCES MARINI, SWINS SWG F	613	8,35	1,62	0.433	8
	0.19	0.14	6.12	0.8013		MAKEN TWEIN WOOM	0,00	1,11	6.12	0.901	
							5.63				
ACCIDABLEY REST OF THE BU ACCIDABLEY REST OF THE WORLD	0,83 1,04	6.13	3,18	0,8007		MACRABUTY REST OF THE SU MACRABUTY REST OF THE WORLD	0,43 1,04	8,19 8,12	2,16	-0,800	co
ACCRANITY REST OF THE WORLD	1.04	613	3,79	+0,8001	I		1,04	5,12		140,000	
of ANCOANTY Spinish ECOMMISSION	-0.6	6.16	3.62	0.000		ROOMANGIN ROOMCHINISI	4.6	8,15	-3,67	6300	
			-6.22	0.8277		MODE Control of the C	6.00	8.15	-6,12 -5,10	0.827	
BGGGGarderia BGGGGarderia da Mancha	0.85	0.14	1,00	0,8145	r I	MOGOVCentile La Mancha	4,08	8,14 8,14	4,56	0,573	
EGOVA, Johns La Manora EGOVACANSTIA y Ledin	0.42	6.13	4.11	0.3019	I				-0.11		ü
						MICROSCALATINGS	-0,89	1,12	-7,1	-0,8000	
EGIONCIaded Autónomo de Cess.	-0.8	9.3	4.7	8,007		MCG/Chicad Autonoma de Ceu. MCG/Chicad Autonoma de Mei.	4.8	0.3 6.19	-17	6,213	
SGOYCudad Autónoma de Mri.	4.36	6.29	-1.25	0.2123	l I	MEGOSCHORI ARTONIO DE MAI.	-636 0,24	1.12	-0.15	-0.8000	I
ECOV remarkat de Marini ECOV remarkat foral de Nov.	1,24	0.14	4.66	+0.8003			-1,06 -0,42	8,16	4,66		
						MICROPHONOUS MICROPAGE	6.42	5,13	-0.28	0.001	t
EGOStormatora EGOSTORIa	0.27	0.13	115	0,2797	r I	MOONSHO	453	8,15 8,15	3,75	0.000	3
COOTHIGENOS COOTHIGE Buleans	-0.53	6.13	4.89								
						MCGCN-a Riege	6,12	8,17	4,6	6,011	
	-0,82	0.14	6.79			MCGOWInk Vision					
	0.8	0.17 0.18	-1,46	0.3006	···	MCCOPPOCULATION.	-0.0 -0.18	5.17 5.10	-0.46	0.000	
ECOPRegión de Munica	0,16	0,14	-9	0.3385		ESCOPRegion de Municia del ESCAPA Ancolargo MEC 25 - M				0,338	4
of BOOM Antology OF St. N	0.59	0.14	433	+0.8000		NO. S. N	4,59	8,14	4,33	+0,8000	
GE 39 - 44	0,58 0,59					AGE 30 - 44 AGE 45 - 65	9,59	5.13	4.10	+0.8000	
	0,29	0,14	638 6,67	0,3528	I I	AGE AS - OS	6.09	8,14	-6,19	0.353	
GE-Start	0,69	0,3	4,42	0,3005	ı I		2,00				
MF MAE 15- JA DOCKTORNE LEVEL, SICLADARY-HOLISHOLD THY TWO MAERTY FOR MOSE CHEDRIN	0.11	0.28	111	0.2999	Н	BY MOLES IN THE RECOGNISH THROUGH THROUGH THE TWO PARKETS INCOME OF DELORISM	0,15	1,14	3,15	0,291	
DUCATIONAL LEVEL, SUPERIOR HOUSENOUS THIS TWO INVESTIGATION MORE CHILDREN DUCATIONAL LEVEL, SECUREMENT-HOUSENOUS THIS LONG MOTHER	0.51	630	1.68 8,59	0,3556	ŀ l	EDUCATIONIL LEVEL, SUPERIOR*HOUSEHOLD TYPE TWO PRIENTS 3 OR MORE CREDREN	6.54	1.14	1,68	0.991	1
DUCATIONAL LEVEL, SECUMENRY*HOUSEHOLD THPE LONE MOTHER		6,35	6,50	0,5558		EDUCATIONAL LIVEL SECUNDARY HOUSEWAYS THYS LONG MOTHER EDUCATIONAL LIVEL, SUPERIOR HOUSEWAYS THYS LONG MOTHER	6,31 6,27	8,15	5,59 5,67	0.501	
DOCKTONIA LEVEL, SUMBIORMOUSHOLD THRE LONG MOTHER MY CONCRETENAL LEVEL PRIMARIES MODIFICATION FROM LONG AND AND A CONCRETE MARKET, 1972 S DISSILLED MODIFICATION FROM THE WAS ARRIVED TO A BOOK OF MALERIA. MARKET, 1972 S DISSILLED MODIFICATION FROM THE WAS ARRIVED TO A BOOK OF MALERIA.	6,27	0,4	6,62	8,500		THE FORESTIME LEVEL THE PROMASY HOUSE FOR THE CONTROL OF A COMMON.					
AMERICAN ENGREPH CONTRACTOR AND THE TWO MARKETS I GREAT AND THE THE	0.69	6.67	100	0.2992	\vdash	But Electriciae (CVI) Primary Bood File Prif Two parent Lord Children. MARTIN: CUITA DISORCIO ROUGH POLITIPO TWO TWO RANGETS OR ASSISTED	2,69	1,67	1,64	6,295	į
			140	0.1546		MAKER, SWISS SHILL NOUSEROLD THE TWO INVENTS I OR MORE ORDERS MAKER, SWISS WIDON NOUSEROLD THE TWO INVENTS I OR MORE DISCRESS	5,33 5,38	5,12 5,19	1,42	0.154	
MAKEN, SMPUS WIDOWPHOLISHOLD TIPE TWO PARENTS S OR MORE CHILDREN	0.28	1,19	0.2	0,8400		MAKEN, 1997US WEDGETHOUSEHOLD THE TWO RINGSTS I OR MORE DISCRESS WANTED COURS OFFINDAD TO COMPANY FOR THE LOW MOTHER	6.18	1,19	-1.6	0.840	
AMERIC STATUS SEPRIMANDINORCIADA "MOUSEMOUS TYPE COME NACTHER AMERIC STATUS SINCE PURO POLICE D'EST L'ON LINES MODILES	0.8	0.44	-1.8	0,8718	l: I	MARIEN, STATUS SEPRADA/ENGRICADA* ROUSEHOLD TYPE LONE MOTHER MARIEN, STATUS SHIGLE*HOUSEHOLD TYPE LONE MOTHER	-6.8 -6.79	8,44	-1.85 -1.85	0.001	
MARINE, STATES SHEELT-HOUSEHOLD THYE LONG MOTHER	1,08	633	-1.05	8,067	i. I	MAKEN, EMPLY WEDNINGSHOLD THE LONG MOTHER	-1,08	535	-1,00	0.00	į
MATERIA STATUS WIDOWY-DOUBLE-DOLD THAT LOSS MOTHER of MARIEUS CROSS MARIEUS HOSPICIOUS AND James 1 or 2 shiptons					1 1	WARTE, TWY-E WIDOWY-BUILD-BUILD THE LONG HIGH-BIT Set AUGUSTE, TWY-E WARTENING HIGH-BITS THE Two parms I or 2 children EDOCATORIA LIVES, SECURDARY WARTEN, TWY-E SPORCED					
DOCKTOWN, LEVEL, SECUMENT/FINANTIAL STATUS DEVOKCED	0,21	0,63	-0,12	0,8042		EDUCATIONIS LEVEL, SECUNDING MARIEN, STATUS DIVORCED EDUCATIONIS LEVEL, SUPERIOR MARIEN, STATUS DIVORCED	6,25 6,03	8,41 8,45	6,12 6,06	0,804	4
DOCKSONIL LEVEL, SUPERGRYMMETM, STATUS GIVORCED	0.88	6,45 6,24	6,06	0,8906		CHARLES IN THE STATE OF THE STA	-0.15	8.14	4.65	0.530	
DOCATIONAL LIVEL SECUNDARY MARINA SWILLS SINGLE DOCATIONAL LIVEL SUPERIOR MARINA SWILLS SINGLE		6.24			l. I	EDUCATIONIS, LIDAEL SUPERIOR MARKEN, SMALES SINGLE	5.45	5.17	1.66	0.00	i
	0.98	6.42	6.19	0.8518			0.06				
DOCKTONIE, LEVEL, SECURENCE MARTIN, STREET, WIDOW DOCKTONIE, LEVEL, SUPERIOR MARTIN, STREET, WIDOW	6.98	6,42 6,55	6,19 3,19	0.8518	ш	EDUCATIONIA, LEVEL, SECUNDARY MARITIA, STATUS WIDOW EDUCATIONIA, LEVEL, SUPERIOR MARITIA, STATUS WIDOW	1,08 02	1,42 1,55	1,19	0.195	ŝ
M EDUCATIONAL LEVEL PRINCASTAMARTIN, ENTITE MARRIAGE	0.98	6.42	6.19	0,8518		EDICATIONII, LEVID, SECUNDANIPHANIETA, STATUS WEDOW EDICATIONII, LEVID, SUPERIOR-MARIER, STATUS WEDOW 64: EDICATIONIC LEVIL PRIMARY MARIER, STATUS MARRIADE	0.06	1,42 1,55	139	0.195	
Decarional (PVI), 90 cubater-materia, 300 (9 september 1) processor (1)	6.76	6.42 6.55	1.19	0.1995	Ц	ESCATAMA (LYPE, SOLDMANT MARIE, SWISS WOOW (SECATAMA (LYPE, SOLDMANT MARIE, SWISS WOOW for ESCATAMA (LYPE MARIET MARIET SWISS MOOW for ESCATAMA (LYPE MARIET MARIET SWISS MARIET Table 4. Housing procedicuments. Fixed Effects (SLM)	- 62	8,55	1.19	0.195	
or operations, save measurements are successful. Sable 3. Health precariousness. Fixed Effects (GLM)	0.00 0.7 Nature	6.42 6.55	1,19 1,19	0.1995		TENCHONNE, USE, SECONDARY-MARTIN, STUTS WEREW TENCHONNE, USE, SECTION MARTIN, STUTS, WORKER TENCHONNE, SECONDARY MARTIN, STUTS WARRINGS Table 4. Housing procedicusness. Fised Effects (CLM)	Water 10	i SS	1.19	0.195	
of EDUCATIONAL LEVEL PROGRAMMANTED, TREES MARRIAGE Fished 3. Health precariousness. Fixed Effects (G.M) homospy) homospy)	0.00 0.7 Matter 0.3 0.31	6,42 6,55 Sal Jova 6,07 6,09	1.19 1.19 1.40 1.40	0.1995 p-taller -0,0003		TEOCETICAMI (1976, SECRETARISM TANKES, STUPE) SERVICE TEOCETICAMI (1976, SECRETARISM TANKES, STUPE) SERVICE TEOCHT TEOCETICAMI (1976, SECRETARISM TANKES, STUPE) TEOCHT TEO	02 Value 0 0,00	1,55 M Error 1,08	1.19 1.40 1.40	0.195 -0.2000	
of EDUCATIONAL LEVEL PROGRAMMANTED, TREES MARRIAGE Fished 3. Health precariousness. Fixed Effects (G.M) homospy) homospy)	0.00 0.7 Nature	6.42 6.55	6,19 1,19	0.1995 p-taller -0,0003		TEOCHTONIA (FPE, SCHARGAM-WAREN, STATIS WORDW TEOCHTONIA (FPE, SCHARGAM-WAREN, STATIS WORDW TEOCHTONIA (FPE, MINISTER) THE STATIS WORDWOOD Table 4. Housing procedicusess. Fixed Offices (CEM) Debusyl Debusyl	Water 10	i SS	1.19	0.195	
indication of the control of the con	0.38 0.7 0.31 0.31	6,42 6,55 Bal. Even 6,07 6,18	1,19 1,19 1,19 1,19 1,19 1,19 1,19	0.1995 -0,8003 -0,2111 -0,3395		Inforcing Land, 1979, SECONDARY WAREAUTY STUDY PROPON	0,2 Value 0 6,68 8,78 8,73	8,55 M firms 8,08 8,11 8,11	1,19 1,62 1,45 1,4	0.195 0.2003 0.2003 0.2003	
or EDUCATIONAL LIVEL PROGRAMMENTS, SERVER MARRAGE Fibble 3. Histolik precariousness. Flood Effects (GLM) **Discretional Tript Two Partiest 3.0% social criticates **Discretional Tript Two Parties 3.0% social c	0.38 0.7 0.3 0.31 0.31	6,42 6,55 6,55 6,07 6,09 6,18	1,19 1,19 1,00 1,20 5,00 1,20 5,00	0.1995		Tread/Treat, Inch., SCILINGER** MARKET, STOTES MODE TREAT SCILINGER** MARKET, STOTES MODE TABLE 4. Rousing precariousness. Final Effects (GLM) Diversing Diversing to the Control of t	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,55 1,00 1,11 1,11	1.19 1.40 1.40	0.199 product -0.2003 0.554 0.200	
MI EDUCATIONAL LIVEL PROMOMENTAL STORM MARKAGE BRIDGE J. RICHARD PRESCRIPTION FROM ETHER (GCM) DISSENSE DISSENSE J. VIII TANK J. DESCRIPTION FROM THE STORM J. DESCRIPTION FROM THE STORM J. DESCRIPTION FROM THE STORM J. DESCRIPTION FROM THE J.	0.00 0.7 0.3 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.34	6,42 6,33 6,35 6,07 6,07 6,18 6,08 6,08	1.19 1.19 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.1995 0.2003 0.2003 0.3003 0.3000		Tread/Treat, Inch., SCILINGER** MARKET, STOTES MODE TREAT SCILINGER** MARKET, STOTES MODE TABLE 4. Rousing precariousness. Final Effects (GLM) Diversing Diversing to the Control of t	0,2 Value 0 6,44 9,05 9,73 6,03 6,05	8,55 M. Erner 8,08 8,11 8,11 8,11	1.09 0.02 0.03 1.45 1.4 0.0	0.199 presture -0.2000 -0.554 -0.200 -0.550 -0.350	
of EDUCATIONAL LEVEL PROGRAMMATICAL VERY ADMINISTRAL VERY	0.00 0.7 0.3 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.34	6,42 6,35 6,35 6,07 6,07 6,08 6,08 6,08	1.19 1.19 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.1995 0.2003 0.2111 0.3203 0.3203 0.3203		Treactions (API), SOLAnder-Markes (SPIF) SOCIOUS Treactions (API), SOLAnder-Markes (SPIF) SOCIOUS Table 4. Reporting to the STATE (SPIF) SOCIOUS Table 4. Reporting to the STATE (SPIF) SOCIOUS Table 5. Reporting to the	0,2 Value 0 6,44 9,05 9,73 6,03 6,05	8,55 8,08 8,11 8,11 8,00 8,00 8,00	1.00 1.02 1.45 1.4 1.4 4.0 4.0 4.0	0.199 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000	
LE EDUCATIONAL LEVER PROGRAMMATICAL VERTE ADMINISTRAL PROGRAMMATICAL VERTE ADMINISTRAL VERTE ADMINISTR	638 8,7 833 833 833 833 833 834	6,42 6,35 6,00 6,00 6,14 6,00 6,14 6,00	1.19 1.19 1.19 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10	0.1995 0.210 0.211 0.370 0.001 0.001		Interest Description, VEX. (Interest Press, VEX.) (VEX.)	02 0,00 0,07 0,07 0,07 0,05 0,05	8,55 8,08 8,11 8,11 8,00 8,06	3.00 9.40 9.40 3.4 4,00 6,00	0.195 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000	
LE EDUCATIONAL LEVER PROGRAMMATICAL VERTE ADMINISTRAL PROGRAMMATICAL VERTE ADMINISTRAL VERTE ADMINISTR	0.00 0.7 0.3 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.34	6,42 6,35 6,35 6,07 6,07 6,08 6,08 6,08	1.19 1.19 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.1995 0.210 0.211 0.370 0.001 0.001		Interest Description, VEX. (Interest Press, VEX.) (VEX.)	0,2 Value 0 6,44 9,05 9,73 6,03 6,05	8,55 8,08 8,11 8,11 8,00 8,00 8,00	1.00 1.02 1.45 1.4 1.4 4.0 4.0 4.0	0.199 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000	
AND CONTRACT AND AND CONTRACT A	0.38 0.3 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.35	6,42 6,35 6,35 6,09 6,18 6,09 6,09 6,14 6,09 6,14	1.19 1.19 1.20 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21	0.1995 -0.0003 -0.0003 -0.0003 -0.0003 -0.0003 -0.0003		Inductions units, stocknew-waters, Story in sector	02 0,00 0,07 0,07 0,07 0,05 0,05	8.55 8.00 8.01 8.01 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00	1.02 0.45 1.4 0.4 4.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.01	0.195 0.3000 0.554 0.300 0.300 0.300 -0.3000 -0.3000	
AN ENGINEERING TOTAL LIVER TRANSPERS, THAT CHEMICAL STATES AND	638 8,7 833 833 833 833 833 834	6,42 6,35 6,00 6,00 6,14 6,00 6,14 6,00	1.19 1.19 1.19 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10	0.1995 -0.0001 -0.0001 0.33% 0.30% -0.0001 -0.0001		Interdition of the Conference of the Conferenc	02 0,00 0,07 0,07 0,07 0,05 0,05	8,55 8,08 8,11 8,11 8,00 8,06	3.00 9.40 9.40 9.40 6.40 6.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.0	0.195 0.3000 0.554 0.300 0.300 0.300 -0.3000 -0.3000	
Le BOUTRAS LAND MINISTER VALUE POUR VALUE	031 031 031 031 031 034 034 036 036	6.62 6.55 6.55 6.09 6.18 6.09 6.05 6.05 6.09 6.12 6.09 6.12	5.19 1.19 5.46 5.47 5.47 5.47 5.47 5.47 5.47 5.47	0.3995 1-0.2003 0.2111 0.3376 0.3003 0.3003 0.3003 0.3003		Telectrical Activity and Conference Telectrical Activity and Confe	02 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.	8.55 8.00 8.11 8.11 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.17 0.3 8.19 0.3 8.10 8.00 8.00	5.05 5.45 5.45 5.45 5.45 5.45 5.45 5.45	0.199 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000 -0.2000	
of Indication Livin Membershallis (MI) submitted Mills T which prescribes. That Chief (IGM) Mills T which prescribes. That Chief (IGM) Mills T which prescribes and I will be a made of the mills of	0.38 9.3 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.34 0.34 0.36 0.36	6.42 633 635 637 637 638 638 638 638 638 638 638 638 638 638	6.19 1.29 1.26 1.26 1.27 1.27 1.27 1.27 1.27 1.27 1.27 1.27	0.1995		Electrical Activity of the Company	02 0.65 0.65 0.77 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.0	8.55 8.00 8.11 8.11 8.00 8.06 8.07 8.07 8.17 9.06 8.17 9.10 8.17	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0,199 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000	
Let Electrica, tour measur-valents votor valents Let Transference Tra	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.02 0.03 0.03 0.07 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09	6.19 1.29 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20	0.1995 product		Interdition of the Conference of the Conferenc	0.7 -0.64 -0.65 -0.7 -0.7 -0.7 -0.7 -0.7 -0.7 -0.7 -0.7	8,555 8,000 8,111 8,111 8,121 8,000 8,000 8,127 0,13 8,144 8,000 8	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.199 0.3000 0.554 0.300 0.300 0.300 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000	
Le BOOTROS LA TOR MISSENTANTO NO LA TORIO DE LA TORIO DEL TORIO DE LA TORIO DE LA TORIO DEL TORIO DE LA TORIO DEL TORIO DEL TORIO DE LA TORIO DE LA TORIO DEL TORIO DELLA DEL TORIO DEL TORIO DEL TORIO DELLA	638 32 33 431 431 431 431 431 431 431 431 431	6.02 655 656 657 657 657 657 656 656 656 656	6.19 1.19 1.19 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1	0.1995 0.1000 0.2001 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000 0.3000		The Control of the Co	0.00 Carlo C	8.55 8.00 8.11 8.11 8.11 8.10 8.00 8.00 8.17 0.3 8.19 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.0	5.09 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	-0.190 -0.2000 -0.554 -0.2000	200
of Indication Load Measurements and the Indication Load Measurement Load M	0.00 3.0 3.0 3.3 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	6.62 6.55 6.55 6.55 6.56 6.56 6.56 6.56	\$19 129 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140	0.1995 o-tuber o-0.0001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001 0.2001		[Controller of the Controller of the State o	0.2 Value 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	8,555 8,081 8,111 8,000 8,014 8,127 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006 8,006	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0,190 0,3000 0,300 0,300 0,300 0,300 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000	200
C EDIORNAS DO CHARACTERIS UNA COMENTA DE CONTROLLA DE CON	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6.02 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03	6.19 1.19 1.19 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1	0.1995 9-1000 0.2011 0.3000		Classification of the Control of the	0.20 6.44 6.05 6.73 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05	8,555 84,50mm 8,10 8,10 8,10 8,00 8,00 8,17 8,17 8,16 8,06 8,06 8,06 8,06 8,00 8,00 8,00 8,0	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.190 0.2000 0.554 0.2000 0.3000 0.2000 0.00	2000
of Engineers on Consequentials way, assessed that The Total Part of Tota	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6.02 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03	4.19 1.19 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20	0.1995 0.1995 0.		Comparison of the Comparison o	0.20 0.40 0.05	8,555 84,50mm 8,100 8,00	\$100 \$4.00 \$	0.190 0.2000 0.504 0.300 0.300 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	2000
of Engineers on Controlled State of Sta	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6.02 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04	1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19	0.1995 0.1995 0.2001 0.		Controlled on the Controlled o	0.20 0.40 0.05 0.70 0.05	8,555 84,50mm 8,10 8,10 8,10 8,00 8,00 8,00 8,10 8,00 8,0	\$100 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400	0,190 0,300 0,500 0,500 0,300 0,300 0,000 0 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,00	20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
of Scientific Street, in Americanists Street, season of the Control of the Contro	0.08 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33	6.02 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.04 6.04 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03	1.19 1.19 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20	0.1995 0.1995 0.		Signature of the control of the cont	0.2 0.44 0.45 0.75 0	8,500 MJ Error 8,000	1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.3000 0.	W
A COLUMN TO A COLU	0.08 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33	6.02 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.04 6.04 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03	4.19 1.19 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20	0.1995 0.1995 0.		Biological Confedence and the Part of Section	0.2 0.44 0.45 0.75 0	8,500 MJ Error 8,000	1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.190 0.2000 0.554 0.200 0.300 0.300 0.200 0.000 0	The same of the sa
A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6.02 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03	6.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19	0.1095 product 0.0001 0.2101 0.3001		Controlled and Contro	0.2 0.44 0.45 0.75 0	8,500 8,000 8,111 8,111 8,111 8,000	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	0.190 0.2000 0.554 0.200 0.2000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	W 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
of processing way a service of the control of the c	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6,02 6,03 6,03 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07	6.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19	0.1095 -0.2003 -0.2		Controlled on the Controlled o	0.2 Where 0.2 6.44 6.45 6.45 6.45 6.45 6.45 6.45 6.45	8,500 8,000 8,11 8,11 8,11 8,12 8,00 8,00 8,00 8,00 8,00 8,00 8,00 8,0	5.00 5.00	0.190 0.2000 0.2	W
A CONTROL OF A CON	638 633 633 633 633 633 633 634 636 636 637 637 637 637 637 637 637 637	6.62 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03	\$19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1	0.1995		Compared to the Compared to th	0.2 Value 0.4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	8,500 8,000 8,11 8,11 8,10 8,00 8,12 0,00 8,12 0,00 8,12 0,00 8,00	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.199 0.5000 0.554 0.3000 0.554 0.3000 0.300	The same of the sa
or processing and a second control of the Control o	6,38 6,31	6,02 6,03 6,03 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07 6,07	\$19 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.	0.10950		Control of the Contro	0.2 Whee 5 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	8,500 8,000 8,11 8,11 8,11 8,12 8,06 8,06 8,06 8,00 8,00 8,00 8,00 8,00	1.00 1.00	0.199 0.3000 0.3	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
of principal and communities you would be a second or se	638 633 633 633 633 633 633 634 636 636 637 637 637 637 637 637 637 637	6.62 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.03	\$19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1	0.10950		Control of the Contro	0.2 Value 0.4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	8,500 8,000 8,11 8,11 8,10 8,00 8,12 0,00 8,12 0,00 8,12 0,00 8,00	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.199 0.5000 0.554 0.3000 0.554 0.3000 0.300	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
or consoleration was a service of the consoleration	0.38 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33	6.62 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04	4.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19	0.3999		Control of the Contro	0.22 0.666 0.076 0	8,500 8,100 8,111 8,111 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,000 8,	\$100 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400	0.199 0.3000 0.	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
or process and pro	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6.62 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05	\$19 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.18 1.	0.3990 0.3000 0.		Comparison of the Comparison o	0.22 Subset 8 Subset 9	8,500 8,100 8,111 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,000 8,	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	0.199 -0.2000 -0.5504 -0.2000 -0.3000	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
A common of the	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6.62 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04	4.19	0.3999 - 0.3000		Construction of the Constr	0.72 Subsection	8,500 8,100 8,111 8,111 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,000 8,	5.00 5.60 5.60 6.60 6.00	0.199 p-subset 0.3000 0.5554 0.3000 0	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
A common of the	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6.62 6.03 6.03 6.03 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.04 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05	\$130 Sealer	0.3990 0.3000 0.		Secretary of the Control of the Cont	0.22 Subset 8 Subset 9	8,500 8,100 8,111 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,100 8,000 8,	5.00 5.60 5.60 6.60 6.00	0.199 -0.2000 -0.5504 -0.2000 -0.3000	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
A common de monte de management de managemen	6,38 6,37 6,31 6,31 6,31 6,32 6,32 6,33	6,62	\$135 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0.10900 -0.10001 -0.1000		Controlled on the Controlled o	0.02 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.050 0.77 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000	8,500 8,000 8,111 8,121 8,121 8,122 8,122 8,122 8,000 8,	\$400 cmiles \$400	0.196 (c) 100	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
A CONTROLLED AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE STATE	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6.02	\$19.00 Value	0.3999 1-2,000 0.3101 0.310		Comparison of the Comparison o	0.22 0.64 0.72 0.65 0.72 0.65 0.72 0.65 0.72 0.65 0.72 0.73 0.88 0.66 0.72 0.73 0.74 0.75	5.05 MM Error 5.	1.00 cm. 1.0	0.190 (market control of the control	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
A principal de la companya del la companya de la companya de la companya del la compa	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	6.02	\$19.00 Control of Cont	0.3999 9-3,000 0.3100 0		Historica of the Control of the Cont	0.22 0.64 0.72 0.65 0.72 0.65 0.72 0.65 0.72 0.65 0.72 0.73 0.88 0.66 0.72 0.73 0.74 0.75	5.05 MM Error 5.	1.00 cm. 1.0	0.190 (market control of the control	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
A principal de la companya del la companya de la companya de la companya del la compa	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	GALD-rest GALD	\$19. \(\text{United States } \)	0.0000		Historica of the Control of the Cont	0.00 Water 0.00 0.0	\$55 and Free \$5,000 and \$5,000 an	1.00 method	0.196 (0.	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
A principal de la companya del la companya de la companya de la companya del la compa	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	GAZ	\$19.00 trains 1.00	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000		Historica of the Control of the Cont	0.22 (4.65	1,000 Mil Stew 1,000	1.00 miles 1.00	0.190 pratise particle 0.5000	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
A principal de la companya del la companya de la companya de la companya del la compa	(a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	Galdware	\$19.00 (water \$1.00) (\$1.00)	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00		Historica of the Control of the Cont	0.22 0.44 0.44 0.44 0.47	\$55 and Form \$5,000 and \$5,000 an	1.00 months 1.00	0.190	The same of the sa
A principal prin	Call	GAZ	\$135.00 Contact	0.00000 p-tules		Secretary of the Control of the Cont	0.22 (0.24 (8.55 8.61 8.11 8.11 8.12 8.02 8.03 8.04 8.04 8.05	1.00 (0.1900 0.1	The same of the sa
A common of the	GRAPH GRAP	GAZ	\$19.00 trustee	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000		The control of the co	0.00 (March 1970)	\$50 Mid flow \$50 Mid flow \$1,00 mid	\$2.00 miles \$4.00 miles \$4	0.190 produce custom cu	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
A CONTROLLED AND ADMINISTRATION OF ADMINISTRATIO	Call	GAL Colorest Col	\$135.00 Contact	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000		Comparison of the Comparison o	02 Value 0	\$50 Mil Erwi	1.00 (0.196 produce c-0.1000 c-0.100	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
A CONTROLLED AND ADMINISTRATION OF ADMINISTRATIO	GRAPH GRAP	GALD-WIND GALD	4.19. (value 1.19.	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000		Comparison of the Comparison o	0.00 Where 10 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	8.00 Mid favor 8.00 M	1.00 months 1.	0.196 produce c-0.1000 c-0.100	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
A primary for the primary for	GRAPH GRAP	Galderean Gald	\$130 \$\text{\$\texitt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\texitt{\$\texitex{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000		Secretary of the Control of the Cont	02 VAMes 0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	\$500 Mid favor \$500 M	\$20 miles \$4.00 miles \$4.0	0.196 Pathor -0.2000 -0.3000	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
A primary for the primary for	GRAD CANADA CAN	GAL CALL C	\$19.00 (value) \$19.00	0.1995/00/2012 0.2002 0.20		Secretary of the Control of the Cont	\$2 \text{Value \$ 1 \text{ \$ 0.00 } \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8,000 Mil Draw 5,000	1.10 median	0.1950 -0.1900 -0.1	The same of the sa
A primary for the primary for	GRID AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	GAL Colorest Col	6.151.2 Custon	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000		Comparison of the Comparison o	\$\frac{1}{4}\$ \$\	8,000 Ma Erwar 1	1.00 (0.000 (0.	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF	(a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	GAL	\$19.00 (value) \$1.00 (value) \$	0.1995/00/2012 0.2000 0.20		Company of the Compan	\$\frac{\sqrt{\text{Value}}}{\sqrt{\text{Value}}}\$ \$\frac{\sqrt{\text{Value}}}{\sqrt{\text{Value}}}}\$ \$\frac{\sqrt{\text{Value}}}{\text{Value	\$550 Mal Draw	1.10 months 1.00	0.000	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
A primary for the primary for	Gallery Color Co	\$1.00 \$1.00	\$19.00 \text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\texitex{\$\text{\$\texit}}\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texitex{\$\texitw	0.1995/00/2012 0.2000 0.20		Comparison of the Comparison o	Water 1	8,000 Ma Erwar 1	1.10 (- 0.000 - 0.00	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T

INCOME DIMENSION (Table 1): single-parent households and two-parent families with 3 or more children are those with

LABOUR DIMENSION (Table 2): there are no significant differences between household types

HEALTH DIMENSION (Table 3): there are no significant universities between moscinary dynamics. HEALTH DIMENSION (Table 3): the based effect of household types is not significant and no differences between groups are shown, although marital status is important (divorced and widowed more precarious than married mothers).

HOUSING DIMENSION (Table 4): the fixed effects of household type are not important, while the interaction between marital status and household type shows significant results: married mothers in two-parent households with less than 3 children show the lowest precariousness.

ALL DIMENISONS: There is a high degree of spatial heterogeneity (in income precariousness such differences are very

CONCLUSIONS

More income precariousness of mothers in one parent households (significant differences between them and mothers in two-parent households). When the distinction between two-parent households is added, two-parent households with 3 or more children show a higher precariousness compared with two-parent households with less than three children.

arital status appear as an important factor of precariousness (as proxy of pathways to entry into lone parenthood). Thus, in health precariousness, divorced and single mothers are those suffering from the highest precariousness. In the housing dimension, single and divorced mothers in single-parent households (interaction between the type of household and marital status) reach greater precariousness compared to married mothers in two-parent households.

THESE DIFFERENCES IN ACCESS TO RESOURCES, AND ULTIMATELY THE MOST PRECARIOUSNESS OF MOTHERS IN SINGLE-PARENT HOUSEHOLDS REMAIN A KEY FACTOR IN THE SPANISH SOCIAL STRUCTURE. THEREFORE, THE DESIGN OF <u>PUBLIC</u> <u>POLICIES TO COMBAT THESE DIFFERENCES IS STILL A MUST.</u>

